E-filing

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. <u>C10-03561 WHA</u>

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

NOTE I NOW THE GOIL
Note No
Date 41/8/12
Time_\\:24aM_
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: ARE THE API'S IN QUESTION STANDARD ORACLE API'S ? ARE THERE ***********************************

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No
Date
Time
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: Seems Sun was aware Aquale had frequented. Java and was affering pokentially non-compatable Apis, etc. that Others way have down balled for Future use. West Formul Communication did Sun have (ie revoce became? have Apache Communication did Sun have (ie revoce became? have Apache Stop distributions? Contact those who agained Apache to Stop distributions? Contact those who agained Apache to Caution about use?) IF NOT, why NOT? IPSO, when?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 3
Date
Time
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
The Jury or Juror has the following question: It was festified that Apache was "shelved" - when? why
_ It was festitud that Afriche. Was
- what are lovere terms of Aprolle license tracks
- Dio Google get Apache (cena? IF 55, when? whom? (2002?)
- were 37 April wanted by Agade lucase?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No4	
Date	
Time	
1. The Jury ha	s reached a unanimous verdict ()
or	
2. The Jury or	Juror has the following question:
How Compositions compositions compositions with which	that uneans) do the lawyers for my obtain the private information or i.e., uneeting reports, remails, etc., it they confront witnesses of the other

what is one of us jury people were to be whit by a truck - or something less dramatic, but equally capable of keeping us from ileing shere? may be what I'm really asking is this - why aren't there any other over it is this trial?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Note No.

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Date
Time
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
Con you explain the difference between a package and an API? (For ex. Java.nio)

For later

2) How does copyracite process work? What can / cannot be copyrated & is this predetermined when copyrate is granted? Does it expire?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No
Date 4-25-12
Time
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
· HOW MANY APT'S IN QUESTION ARE ALSO AVAILABLE THROUGH APACHE OPEN SOURCE?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No.
Date 4/26/12
Time
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
Prof Askchan
Drd he say the
Specification Says what code
to write in the implementation.
The state of the s
It so why would must be at y such as proprietary
code?
Foreperson of the Jury

or Member of the Jury

	-1 1 1
Jury S	cheaule_
Tues. 8-1:00	May 1
Wed 8 - 4	
Thu 8-4	3
Fri 8-1	4
·	
Foreperson: Gr	ea Momoson
	A
	Aprīl 30, 2012

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	o
Date	5/1/2012
Time	9:30 an

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

(2.) The Jury or Juror has the following question:

For QIA In Rungement	as we able permitted restricted
- OF 37-Apris in guestion,	are we able permitted restricted 37 Via non-license-reguired 37 Via non-license-reguired
to determine availability of these locations (i.e. Java sun made ouned structure free to -use MT-to-use) 7 or	sites, or Apache, or other) and
locations (i.e. Java sun maul	Is this the the junge
free to-var / With	

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 2	
Date <i>\(\sigm\)//2</i>	
Time_ 9:35	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or	

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

On page 16 of our instructions, the sentence beginning "Similarly if Google...." defines elements reserved for the judge to decide. Does this restriction on the jury prevent us, in regard deciding if infringement took place, from considering whether the 37 API's are accessible from other sources (not directly from Oracle/Java 25E)

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note N	اه. <u>ک</u>
Date	5/2/12
Time	8:15

The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: On page 13 of our instructions, the first of the 4 fair use factors includes the terms "commercial nature" and "commercial use". In the given context, does commercial use/nature include so-called downstream revenue, i.e. expected advertising revenue from use by third parties (smart phone users)? Or, is the definition of commercial use limited in this case by virtue of Android being freely distributed in other words it is not sold directly? Can we consider to the directly?

Can we consider to the directly and indirect revenue from the Sieg Mongary.

or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note N	lo. <u>4</u>
Date_	5/2/12
Time	8:22

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
WHEN CONSIDERING THE PURPOSE & CHARACTER OF THE USE OF COPYRIGHTED
WORKS, FOR THE PURPOSE OF FAIRUSE, CAN WE CONSIDER INDIRECT
COMMERCIAL USES?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No.	5	
Date	5/2/12	
Time	1005	

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Please brong equipment to play a dod.

Manks

Equipment will be brought to you by 11 AM

The Judge

10:25

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. <u>C10-03561 WHA</u>

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note N	o. <u>6</u>
Date	5/3/12
Time	1045

The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

'In PT 28, when referres to
"average andrewe" whereof

is the average andrewe

the Seneral public or

the andrewe of programmers

winy the code? Jennifer Mid

Foreperson of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. <u>C10-03561 WHA</u>
Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC
NOTE FROM THE JURY
Note No. 6
Date 5/3/12
Note No. $\frac{6}{5/3}$ 12 Time $\frac{1045}{1045}$
1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
In A 28, when referry to
l'averge andrere" wheth
is the average andrence
the Seneral public or
the andrence of programmers
using the code? Jennifer Mounts
Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. <u>C10-03561 WHA</u>

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	
Date5 / 2	
Time $Q'. \infty$	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or	

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

To determine the transformative value of the copyrighted work, can we consider the elements mon-copyrighted elements the elements that Google added to make the Android platform) in deciding the "purpose & character of the use" of the SSO of the 37 APIS?

Megan Gallo Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury

	JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP
	Case No. C10-03561 WHA
	Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC
	Note No. Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC NOTE FROM THE JURY Note No.
	Note No
	Date 5/2 In may the true true to the constitution of the constitu
	Case No. C10-03561 WHA Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC NOTE FROM THE JURY Note No Date 5 / 2 Time 2'. \times
	1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
	or conclude the surface
	2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
•	To determine the transformative value of
	the copyrighted work, can we consider
	the elements the
	elements that Google added to make
	the Android platform) in deciding the
()	purpose & character of the use" of
	the SSO of the 37 APIS?
	Megan Gal 6 Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE	FROM	THE	JURY

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

what happens if we can't reach unarmous andecision of people are not budging?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note I	No	8	
Date_	5	/4/1	2
Time_	//:	45	

The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

After extensive and thorough review of all evidence and input from fellow jurors, we have reached verdicts (unanimous) for all questions of the special Verdict Form, except for one. As to this remaining question, the jury appears to be at impasse, unable to unanimously agree on the answer. Please advise of next step.

(We are done for today)

Jury Sch	redule
Monday 5/7	3 to 1 pm
J	
Tuesday 5/8 8	to 4 om
Tuesday 5/8 8 if needed	
	Sen Than
·	Sieg Thay
* Also, please provide	maco "Noto from
Jury" forms.	1010101010
<u> </u>	

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No/O
Date 7 May 2s TV
Time
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: One of the Jurers has in dicated he like has had conversations regarding he like has had conversations regarding he has had conversations over Alis trial (putents cumplets) over
He weekerd.

Foreperson of the Jury of Member of the Jury

Ronald Rutherful.

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. <u>C10-03561 WHA</u>

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No
Date 5/7/12
Time//: 05
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
Impasse has been reached on the one issue
that can not be decided.

Please provide	2 More
notebooks for	
	Sieg Thorn
<u> </u>	

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No		
Date		
Time		
1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict () .	
or		

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Jury So	chedule
today -	out at 1:00
Wed 5/16	8:00 to 1:00
Thu 5/17	8:00 to 4:00
Fri 5/18	8:00 to 1:00

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No.	
Date	
Time	

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Our Exhibit list seems to be for Phase I only Please provide the Phase 2 list

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

literal contents, e.g 52 and 01?

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No
Date5/16
Time_ Noo_
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
In paragraph 14 of cour instructions, we are to first decide the
meaning of the patent claims. We are examining the question of
what is meant by "instructions containing one or more symbolic
references". Is the interpretation of "containing" ope
to inclusion of a process, ie. the symbolic reference resolution

Alternately, is "containing" more strictly defined to refer to the

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	
Date 5/17	
Time/2:25	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or	

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

initialization?

We are attempting to determine the scope of meaning of the term
"simulating execution of the byte codes..." in Patent 520.

Is the existence of an example of the Android code not functioning when formatted in a normal simulated execution setting,

("Punting") permitted to be taken as evidence

that Android's array initialization diverges from the patented array

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 4

Date 5//7

Time 1:40

The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

We request that the transcript of a portion of Dr. Mitchell's testimony be read. The testimony concerns the topic of Pattern Matching vs Simulated Execution and occured late on May 9th and again early on May 10 th

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. <u>45</u> Time_ 205 pm

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Can I get a sick day without being discharged? Sorry.

Tennifer Michaels Foreperson of the Jury

or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note N	lo. <u>6</u>
Date_	5/18
Time	10:25

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

We request to be read a portron of Dr. Parr's testimony.

from direct examination we'd like to hear the part about defining and execution simulation and execution

Additionally from cross-exam as to when + how simulated execution is used and it's relation to parsing.

May 18, 2012

I'd like to know why the vote by the jery must be run animous.

Why wouldn't a majority-one way or the other-be sufficient \$ to looke an given question?

Clisabeth Hostynek

·	
Tury Hours	
Jury Hours	
Monday 5/21	8-/
\mathcal{J}_{-}	
	7/
	Sieg They
	1
	V
No	

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No		
Date 5/21	÷	
Time_ 9:(0_		
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict () .	
or		

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Can we please have question and the	
A Marian Company	
answer to re-read!	
I reachding what the instruction	
can refer to in the 104	
Patent) Meen Foreperson of the Jury	

or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No.	C10-03361 WHA	
	-	

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	
Date 5/21/12	
Time 10:10 AM	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or	in the instructions
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:	
can a defermined numeric reference because of what h	sence become a symbolic
reference because of what h	appens downstream ?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE PROMITE JUST
Note No
Date5/21
Time /0:15
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
Regarding the symbolic reference issue in the 104 claims,
we have this question.
Does resolution of symbolic references need to happen immediately
ie. at the field ID table position in the demonstration?
ie. at the field ID table position in the demonstration? be considered contain Or can the instruction be a symbolic reference by virtue
of linking of numeric references that occur first followed by
resolution, such as the set-up in the demo with string ID table
String data+ class columns? Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury
5. Magazir di dila dary

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Note No.

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Date 5/2/12	
Time_ 10:32 AM_	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()	
or	
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: For the purposes of determining claim langua 520 patent, is "stack" synonimous with "memo 1s the definition of memory" confined to sta	ge of the ry"? If so, ck?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC. v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	
Date 5/21	
Time 12:45	
1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or	

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

If all other claim limitations are met, is it true that the DVM would be infringing the 104 patent via any resolution of a symbolic reference anywhere in the data fields (as depicted in the demonstrative)

<u>Meyer</u> Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury

Jury Schedu	le - today leaving now, 1:15
Tuesday 5/22 Wednesday 5/23	8-1
	Sieg Mory

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Note No.

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Date 5/22	
Time 8:57AM	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()	
or	·
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: the claims for the purpose of patent 104, do cover resolution in the data fields? anywhere	of sumbolic
recolution in the data fields	again s g
resolution in the daid tields?	
anuwhere	

Julie (h) U Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note N	lo
Date_	5/22
Time_	10:35

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

In the symbolic reference definition, if we find a reference that identifies data by a numeric memory location of the data, does the existence of an initial numeric reference predude the existence of a symbolic reference?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No
Date5/73
Time 9:30
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: Understanding that the 520 issue concerns patent protection for a creay initialization feature, we have a question on the legal interprotection of the modes "si della concernation of the modes" of the modes "si della concernation of the modes" of the modes "si della concernation of the modes" of the modes "si della concernation of the modes".
interpretation of the words "simulating execution of the code" as found in the claim language.
Does that wording refer to looking at the actual static initialization of the array, for purposes of determining the
existence or non-existence of simulated execution?

or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note N	lo	
Date_	5/23/12	
Time_	10:45	

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (X)

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: